- L

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/654,997	IKEMOTO, KAZUTO	i
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Crogon, P. Dol Cotto	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS of herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Rights of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. ☐ This communication is responsive to <u>Amend. filed 2/3/06.</u> 2. ☐ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>3-7, 10-18 renumbered 1-14.</u> 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communics GHTS. This application is subjected and MPEP 1308. der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (for the been received.	ne correspondence addresss application. If not included ation will be mailed in due course, ect to withdrawal from issue at the	THIS initiative
Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of the priority document of the priority documen	cuments have been received in	this national stage application fro	
noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submining in INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give considered by the Notice of Draftspers (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date [Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the considered distance of the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the considered distance of the considered distance o	itted. Note the attached EXAMINAL reason(s) why the oath or decided to be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (Formula of the Amendment / Comment or in the decided to the header according to 37 CFR 1.	claration is deficient. PTO-948) attached the Office action of rawings in the front (not the back) of 121(d). AL must be submitted. Note the	of
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview Sumn Paper No./Mai 8), 7. Examiner's Am	I Date <u>2/13/06</u> .	•

Application/Control Number: 10/654,997

Art Unit: 1751

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with William Solomon on February 13, 2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

The Claims:

In claim 10, line 5, after "or" insert – a --.

In claim 10, line 6, after "other" insert -- , --.

In claim 10, line 7, after "nitrogen" insert --, --.

In claim 13, line 7, delete "further comprising an" and insert – at least one amine polymer selected from the group consisting of polyallylamines, polyethyleneimines, and polyvinylamines, wherein said at least one --.

In claim 13, line 7, delete "having" and insert – has --.

In claim 14, line 4, after "the" insert – at least one --.

Cancel claim 9.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Note that, Applicant's arguments, Applicant's amendments, and the Examiner's are sufficient to place the instant claims in condition for allowance.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,997

Art Unit: 1751

٠.

Claims 3-7 and 10-18 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in MPEP § 821.04(B), claim 12, directed to the process of making or using an allowable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, is hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Because all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement as set forth in the Office action mailed on 2/14/05 is hereby withdrawn. In view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the rejoined inventions, applicant(s) are advised that if any claims including all the limitations of an allowable product claim or rejoined process claim are presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once the restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Of the references of record, the most pertinent is Carter et al (US 2004/0152309). Carter et al teach a method of polishing a silicon-containing dielectric layer involving the use of a chemical-mechanical polishing system comprising an inorganic abrasive, a polishing additive, and a liquid carrier wherein the polishing composition has a pH of about 4 to about 9. See Abstract. However, Carter et al do not teach or suggest the use of the specific amine polymers as recited by the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,997

Art Unit: 1751

None of the references of record, alone or in combination, teach or suggest a composition and method of using such a composition for cleaning a substrate of semiconductor integrated circuits or liquid crystal display devices, said composition containing N-hydroxyformamide, an amine polymer having an average molecular weight of 250 or more, and the other requisite components of the composition in the specific proportions as recited by the instant claims. Accordingly, since the prior art fails to teach or suggest such a composition and method of using such a composition as recited by the instant claims, the instant claims are deemed allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory R. Del Cotto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1312. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/654,997

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory R. Del Cotto Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751

GRD

February 13, 2006